

## NOTES

### THE EFFECTIVENESS OF TWO COMMERCIAL FORMULATIONS AND SN-2 STRAIN OF *BACILLUS THURINGIENSIS* AGAINST THE TEAK SKELETONISER, *PALIGA DAMASTESALIS*

J. Intachat\*, M. Mastura

Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kepong, 51209 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

&

H. Staines

Division of Mathematical Sciences, University of Abertay Dundee, Bell Street, Dundee DD1 1HG, Scotland, United Kingdom

For several decades, products containing *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner (Bt) have been used in agriculture as they are commonly considered to be environmentally friendly. This opinion is primarily based on studies showing that Bt compounds used as foliar insecticides have little or no effect on beneficial insects (Flexner *et al.* 1986). For this reason as well, the use of Bt to control insect pests in forestry is expected to increase in the coming years. In most studies carried out on forest insect pests, evaluations in tropical forest plantations were often based on the use of commercial Bt products that were originally developed for agricultural pests (see Zanuncio *et al.* 1996, Tantichodok & Nanta 1998). Although these products may be effective in controlling some of the forest insect pests, it is also proper to remember that Bt strains are rather specific (Singleton & Sainsbury 1987). Hence using the right strain to control these forest pests is important.

In an attempt to find suitable control measures for controlling the teak skeletoniser, *Paliga damastesalis* (Lepidoptera: Pyraloidea: Crambidae), during serious outbreaks, Intachat *et al.* (2000) in their screening tests carried out on four Bt strains identified the strain SN-2 to be the most effective. SN-2 strain is a radiation-resistant mutant strain of a re-isolated strain from a commercial preparation (Bacillex, Shionogi, Japan) (Jangi & Ibrahim 1983). Subsequent to the previous finding, we now compare the effectiveness of this SN-2 strain and two Bt formulations widely available in Malaysia. The two commercial Bt formulations were the powder (Delfin, Sandoz, Switzerland) and aqueous (Foray 48B, Novo Nordisk, Denmark) formulations of Bt subspecies *krustaki* serotype 3a, 3b.

A total of 125 third instar teak skeletoniser larvae were tested for each of the five treatments, which also included two control treatments: distilled water and nutrient broth. Nutrient broth was included as one of the controls to ensure that any effect which arose from the treatment was not due to the nutrient broth which was used as the 'vehicle' for the Bt culture. The detailed protocols for this experiment and the data analysis carried out were as those described in Intachat *et al.* (2000).

Table 1 shows that the mean percentage mortality for SN-2 was approximately twice that for both Bt formulations at all time points. The mean percentage mortality values for both Bt formulations are approximately equal at all time points and are each larger than both control treatments.

---

\*Present address: Owley Farm, South Brent, Devon TQ10 9HN, England, United Kingdom.

As in the previous study, stepwise logistical regression was also made to determine the relative importance of the crossed factors, exposure time, treatment and the nested factor of replicate. Only factors that significantly reduced the residual deviance (at the 5% level) were included in the model. Table 2 shows that treatment and time are the two most important factors but their interaction term is not significant. This means that over time, all the treatments increase mortality in a consistent manner. The insignificant dish factor shows that the experiment is repeatable.

**Table 1.** Cumulative mortality (%) for each treatment after 24, 48 and 72 h exposures. The fitted value for each treatment/time combination is shown in parenthesis.

Treatment code	Treatment	Time		
		24 h	48 h	72 h
T1	Control 1 (distilled water)	0 (0.0)	2.4 (2.4)	9.6 (9.2)
T2	Control 2 (nutrient broth)	1.6 (0.1)	4.0 (3.9)	13.6 (14.6)
T3	Bt powder	2.4 (1.8)	8.8 (10.1)	32.8 (32.1)
T4	Bt aqueous	1.6 (2.0)	12.0 (11.0)	33.6 (34.2)
T5	SN-2	4.0 (4.7)	23.2 (23.0)	56.0 (55.6)

**Table 2.** Analysis of deviance

Model	Deviance explained	df	Deviance difference	df difference	p value
Null	0	0			
Time	217.3	2	217.3	2	<0.001
Time + treatment	344.4	6	127.1	4	<0.001
Time + treatment + dish (nested in treatment)	393.8	150	49.4	144	>0.05
Time * treatment	347.5	14	3.1	8	>0.05

Combining the two control treatments gave no significant difference between the two whilst there was also no significant difference between the two Bt products. Further simplification of the model, however, produced a significantly worse model (Table 3). This together with the results in Table 1 shows that SN-2 produced significantly greater mortality to the teak skeletoniser larvae than the two Bt products which in turn had greater mortality than the control treatments.

The increased effectiveness, sustained over time, and quicker response of SN-2 over current Bt formulations make this an attractive approach to controlling the teak skeletoniser. However, before such a treatment can be recommended for commercial purposes, an evaluation on the performance of this strain in the field against this teak pest and other non-target lepidopterans must be established.

**Table 3.** Analysis of deviance to investigate differences among treatments

Model	Deviance explained	df	Deviance difference	df difference	p value
Time + treatment	344.4	6			
Time + treatment with (T1,T2) combined	342.1	5	2.3	1	>0.05
Time + treatment with (T1,T2) combined and (T3,T4) combined	339.5	4	2.6	1	>0.05
Time + treatment with (T1,T2,T3,T4) combined	295.2	3	44.3	2	<0.001
Time + treatment with (T1,T2,T5) combined and (T3,T4) combined	219.9	3	122.2	2	<0.001
Time + treatment with (T1,T2) combined and (T3, T4,T5) combined	312.7	3	26.8	2	<0.001

See Table 1 for treatment codes.

### Acknowledgements

This study was funded by IRPA 01-04-01-0054: Development of integrated insect pest management strategies for teak (*Tectona grandis*) plantations in Malaysia. The authors thank Nor Muhammad Mahadi and Mohd. Sanusi Jangi of the Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia for kindly providing the Bt strain coded SN-2 and for permission to use SN-2; Zeenex (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. and Zuellig Pharma Sdn. Bhd. for providing the commercial products; and Faridah Din, Saimas Ariffin, Shahrul Nizam Sanudin and Samsuri Toh Harun for their assistance in maintaining the insect culture and in conducting the experiments. Several anonymous referees gave useful comments. Harry Staines would like to acknowledge the funding from the British Council, the Carnegie Trust and the University of Abertay Dundee.

### References

- FLEXNER, J. L., LIGHTHART, B. & CROFT, B. A. 1986. The effects of microbial pesticides on non-target, beneficial arthropods. *Agricultural Ecosystem Environment* 16:203–254.
- INTACHAT, J., MASTURA, M. & STAINES, H. 2000. Evaluation on the toxicity effect of four *Bacillus thuringiensis* strains against the teak skeletoniser, *Paliga damastesalis* Walker (Lepidoptera: Pyraloidea: Crambidae). *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 12(3):425–430.
- JANGI, M. S. & IBRAHIM, H. 1983. Toxicity of radiation-resistant strains of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Berl.) to larval *Plutella xylostella* (L.). *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 29:552–557.
- SINGLETON, P. & SAINSBURY, D. 1987. *Dictionary of Microbiology and Molecular Biology*. 2nd edition. Wiley Interscience Publication, United Kingdom: 39, 261.
- TANTICHODOK, A. & NANTA, P. 1998. The use of *Bacillus thuringiensis* for control of some lepidopterous pests in Thailand. Poster presented at the IUFRO Workshop on Pest Management in Tropical Forest Plantations. 25–29 May 1998. Chanthaburi, Thailand.
- ZANUNCIO, J. C., GUEDES, R. C., CRUZ, P.A-DA., MOREIRA, A. M. & DA-CRUZ, A. P. 1996. Efficiency of aerial application of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and deltamethrin in the control of *Thyrinteina arnobia* Stoll, 1782 (Lepidoptera: Geometridae). *Acta Amazonica* 22(4):485–492.